Measuring Indifference: Unit Interval Vertex Deletion

<u>René van Bevern</u> Christian Komusiewicz Hannes Moser Rolf Niedermeier

Institut für Informatik Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Germany

36th International Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science, Zarós, Crete, Greece How many sugar cubes would you like in your coffee?

The goal is placing the following alternatives in a ranking:



What is the resulting ranking if we are indifferent between *i* sugar cubes and i + 1 sugar cubes?

Ranking *i* and i + 1 sugar cubes equally would imply indifference between one and four sugar cubes.

---> Rank similar alternatives closely instead of equally.

Measuring Indifference

Approach described by Luce (1956, Econometrica), see also Aleskerov et al. (2007):

Given: Set *V* of objects and a set *E* containing $\{v, w\}$ iff a person is indifferent between $v \in V$ and $w \in V$.

Task: Find *indifference measure* $f: V \to \mathbb{R}$ with $|f(v) - f(w)| \le \delta \iff \{v, w\} \in E$, where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Related to seriation in, e.g., archaeology (Roberts, 1971) and utility maximization in economics (Aleskerov et al., 2007).

Roberts (1969, Proof Techniques in Graph Theory): indifference measure f exists if and only if G := (V, E) is a unit interval graph.

If indifference measure does not exist: remove some outliers so that the remaining objects allow for indifference measure.

UNIT INTERVAL VERTEX DELETION.

Input: A graph G = (V, E) and a natural number k. **Question:** Is G - S a unit interval graph for some vertex set $S \subseteq V$ with $|S| \leq k$?

We call S a unit interval vertex deletion set.

Unit Interval Graphs

Wegner (1967, PhD thesis): unit interval graphs are precisely the graphs not containing the following induced subgraphs:



Holes are induced cycles of length greater than three.

Challenge

Marx (2010, Algorithmica) presented a fixed-parameter algorithm for the related problem:

CHORDAL VERTEX DELETION.

Input: A graph G = (V, E) and a natural number *k*.

Question: Is G - S hole-free for some vertex set $S \subseteq V$ with $|S| \le k$?

- extensible to solve Unit Interval Vertex Deletion
- in worst case, solves problem on tree decompositions of width Ω(k⁴): running time like 2^{Ω(k⁴)} · poly(n)

Main Result

Theorem. UNIT INTERVAL VERTEX DELETION is solvable in $O((14k + 14)^{k+1} \cdot kn^6)$ time.

Here,

- ► *k* is the number of allowed vertex deletions and
- ▶ *n* is the number of vertices in the input graph.

Reduction to Simpler Problem I

To obtain structural information about the input graph, we

- ► destroy induced claws, nets, tents, C₄s and C₅s in the input graph using a simple search tree algorithm and
- ► solve UNIT INTERVAL VERTEX DELETION on the resulting almost unit interval graphs, i. e. {claw, net, tent, C₄, C₅}-free graphs.

Proposition. UNIT INTERVAL VERTEX DELETION is NP-complete on {claw, net, tent}-free graphs.

Reduction to Simpler Problem II

Using iterative compression due to Reed et al. (2004, Operations Research Letters), we finally arrive at

DISJOINT UNIT INTERVAL VERTEX DELETION.

Input: An almost unit interval graph G = (V, E) and a unit interval vertex deletion set *X* for *G*.

Output: A unit interval vertex deletion set *S* with |S| < |X| and $S \cap X = \emptyset$ or "no" if no such set exists.

Theorem. DISJOINT UNIT INTERVAL VERTEX DELETION *is solvable in* $O((14|X|-1)^{|X|-1} \cdot |X|n^5)$ *time.*

Properties of Unit Interval Graphs I

One can find in linear time a *bicompatible elimination order* of the vertices of a unit interval graph (Panda and Das, 2003, IPL).

In a bicompatible elimination order, vertices of maximal cliques appear consecutively.

Example. The following order from left to right is *not* a bicompatible elimination order.



Properties of Unit Interval Graphs II

One can find in linear time a *bicompatible elimination order* of the vertices of a unit interval graph (Panda and Das, 2003, IPL).

Vertices of induced paths appear in the same (or inverse) order as in a bicompatible elimination order.

Example. The following order from left to right is *not* a bicompatible elimination order.



Structure of Disjoint UIVD Instance

We structure a DISJOINT UNIT INTERVAL VERTEX DELETION instance using a classification of the maximal cliques of G - X:



- Here, G X is a unit interval graph.
- ► If the almost unit interval graph *G* is not a unit interval graph, then it contains a hole of length greater than six.

Basic Idea



It remains to destroy holes of length greater than six. Idea:

- bound the number of vertices of a hole in junctions,
- bound the number of tubes visited by a hole, finally
- show how to optimally destroy tubes in polynomial time. Then try all possibilities of destroying a hole
 - by deleting a vertex of the hole in a junction or
 - by destroying a tube visited by the hole.

Properties of Almost Unit Interval Graphs

Derived from Fouquet (1993, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B): in an almost unit interval graph containing holes, the neighborhood of each vertex can be covered by two cliques:



Each clique contains at most two vertices of a hole.

Bounding Number of Vertices in Junctions

The neighborhood of the unit interval vertex deletion set *X* can be covered by 2|X| (not necessarily maximal) cliques. Let S(C) be the set of vertices of all maximal cliques intersecting one such clique *C*:



Observe: S(C) is the union of three maximal cliques and therefore contains at most six vertices of a hole.

 \rightsquigarrow At most 12|X| vertices of a hole are in junctions.

Algorithm

Input: An almost unit interval graph *G* and unit interval vertex deletion set *X* for *G*.

Output: A unit interval vertex deletion set smaller than *X* and disjoint from *X*.

- **1.** Compute bicompatible elimination order for G X.
- **2.** Collect tubes in G X.
- Repeatedly find hole *H* in *G*, recursively try all possibilities of
 deleting one of 12|X| vertices of *H* in junctions and
 - **3.2** destroying one of 2|X| 1 tubes visited by *H*.
- There are 14|X| 1 possibilities to destroy a hole. At most |X| 1 vertices may be deleted. Running time: $O((14|X| 1)^{|X|-1} \cdot |X|n^5)$.

Conclusion and Outlook

High polynomial running time part is due to finding nets and tents: room for improvements.

Experiments may show better performance than proven upper bound: some branching rules may delete large vertex cuts in tubes.

The algorithm is not applicable to INTERVAL VERTEX DELETION: interval graphs do not allow for bicompatible elimination orders.

The existence of a polynomial-size problem kernel is open.